

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, The Board of Commissioners of the County of St. Joseph met in a regular session in the County City Building on July 7, 2015 at the hour of 10:00 a.m. (EST) at which time in the following members were present: Andrew Kostielney, Dave Thomas, and Dr. Deborah Fleming D.M.D. were present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The minutes of the last meeting were unanimously approved.

Michael J. Hamann, County Auditor, was present and recorded the minutes of the meeting.

OPENING AND READING OF BIDS ON:

John McNamara, County Surveyor, offices on the 7th floor.

Establishment of 24 monuments on the state line across from St. Joseph County

DLZ \$5500.00 per mile post
South Bend, IN

Abonmarche \$4900 per mile post
South Bend, IN

State Line Group, LLC \$126546.00 Total all posts

Mr. Thomas asks if State Line Group is a consortium of some agencies.
Mr. McNamara says yes it is a group of at least three or four different individual companies that have come together to do it because there are some in Michigan and some in Indiana. The requirement is that you have to be licensed in both states because that is where the money is coming from.
Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above bids as read and outlined.
Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.
Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

REPORTS AND REQUESTS FROM:

COMMISSIONERS

**A)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
THE ACCOUNTS PAYABLE DOCKETS**

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the Accounts Payable Docket as read and outlined.
Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.
Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

**B)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
THE CONSENT AGENDA.**

Permission was requested to approve the following consent agenda:

Settlement Requests	
Department	Description

Surplus Requests	
Department	Description
County Assessor	Various printers, cameras and scanners

Travel Requests		
Department	Description	Cost Estimate
Adult Probation	Indianapolis, IN / July 14, 2015	\$181.00
Human Resources	Indianapolis, IN / August 6-7, 2015	\$444.90
Human Resources	Indianapolis, IN / August 24-25, 2015	\$1233.27
Penn Twp. Assessor	Indianapolis, IN / July 13-17, 2015	\$825.95
Prosecuting Attorney	Indianapolis, IN / August 16-19, 2015	\$1965.46
Recorder	Michigan City, Indiana / September 21-24, 2015	\$480.00
Sheriff	Noblesville, IN / July 19-24, 2015	\$1895.00
Sheriff	Indianapolis, IN / August 18-20, 2015	\$1,214.00
Voter Registration	Indianapolis, IN / August 14, 2015	\$488.00

Motion was made by Dr. Fleming to accept the consent Agenda.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

C)

IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
A ST. JOSPEH COUNTY INDIANA
BICENTENNIAL COMMITTEE
REQUESTS APPROVAL TO APPLY
FOR THE ARTS EVERYWHERE
GRANT AND THE SPECIAL
PROJECT CHALLENGE GRANT

Elicia Feasel, Deputy Director Historic Preservation.

Ms. Feasel states that a letter has been submitted for two grants. These grants will be used to support a pageant in celebration of our state's birthday. We plan to collaborate with area schools, historical experts and performing arts organizations. This is a matching grant of up to \$5000.00. We will also be using one to plan, market, promote and execute several events that will take place during 2016. The grant is a \$1 to \$1 match with a goal to raise \$15000.00. This will assist with several events; the torch run, a block party, a scavenger hunt or geo-caching family friendly event to run throughout the year and a party that will complete our celebration of the state's bicentennial.

Dr. Fleming comments that she is excited about the possibilities of what we are able to do next year for the bicentennial celebration for our state. I would like to thank Elicia for all of her hard work.

Mr. Thomas asks for the record this would require a match up to \$20000.00 from the County.

Ms. Feasel says yes. I just had a conversation with the Artseverywhere grant and that can be up to \$10000.00 and an unlimited amount with the Challenge grant.

Motion was made by Dr. Fleming to accept the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

D)

IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
RESOLUTION NO. R-C-10-2015:
A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING
A CUMULATIVE BRIDGE FUND

Michael Hamann, St. Joseph County Auditor, offices on the 2nd floor.

Mr. Hamann says that he is here to point out that our rates are falling in reference to the Cum Cap development, Cumulative Bridge and Major Bridge Funds. This has been going on for about ten years. We have been vaguely aware of this and no one has done anything about it. Major Bridge fund is just what it sounds like. It is a fund that pays for inspections of rehabilitation of our big major bridges which a lot are an 80/20 split with the federal government. It is very important to keep that fund solvent and to have a long term plan for public safety of rehabilitating those bridges. We also have a lot of smaller

bridges in this county. Things can also happen to these bridges so it is very important to keep that fund up to where it should be. In regard to Cum Cap development, which is not before this body today but will be before the County Council next Tuesday. That is a fund that we fund capital expenditures, rehabilitation of buildings and what not. I know that one of the things you are looking at today is to put forward a process to effectively evaluate what sort of structures we need to rehabilitate and how much that is going to cost. Also in terms of fleets of cars and we are also looking at a tech refresh that is going on. So far it is off to a very positive start. Trying to keep that moving forward it would be very helpful to have the cum cap rates up to where they need to be. Historically they have always been at about three cents per \$100 of net assessed value and they have just continued to drop. There has been discussion to at least address the issue, maybe this is the start of the conversation that we need to take some steps to reestablish those rates. No one likes to increase taxes and no one wants to do that but I could sit up here and talk about how it wouldn't have a major effect, if you had a \$200000.00 house it might mean another \$50 or so per year. I'm not going to minimize that. I am not going to try and say that there wouldn't be any cost if we did this. That wouldn't be fair to the taxpayers. There would be if we went forward with all three. Before you today though is just the Major Bridge and the Cum. Bridge. If you just go with the Cum. Bridge there would be no change, in essence there would be no tax increase. Major Bridge there would be somewhat of an increase. It is our job in the Auditors office to present you with options to perform your duties and this is one of those options. If we don't do something about this now we will have to do something about it down the road? These rates will continue to drop. The other thing about this is that we can't even grow our way out of this problem if our net assessed value goes up the rates continue to go down. So local communities have kind of been put on the spot by Legislature to address this issue and will have to end up addressing it every year. Whatever you decide to do today and the County Council decides to do next week we will be back here next year saying we need to address this because if we don't do something now the rates will continue to drop and we will be in crisis management.

Mr. Kostielney asks for clarification that the first resolution is to raise the rates on the Cumulative bridge fund and this would not have any impact on the taxes for the taxpayers. This would be just redistributing tax dollars within county government as a whole.

Mr. Hamann says this is correct.

Mr. Kostielney says that this is an issue we have noticed over the last few years that our bridge funds have continued to decrease. We have tried to find other methods to pay for these. Those revenues and resources are quickly dropping. The last two or three years we have tried to find other ways to make these payments.

Mr. Thomas asks for more clarification on these resolutions. The first resolution will not go up correct?

Mr. Hamann says yes the one before you will not go up.

Mr. Thomas says that someone else is going to lose, one of the other departments may not get as much.

Mr. Hamann says yes that is what will happen because the funding will be shifted.

Mr. Thomas asks about the airport, townships, etc. and other

Ms. Shuter says it is only for county unit of government.

Mr. Thomas asks about taxpayers who are at 1%, 2%, or 3% cap will their property taxes go up?

Ms. Shuter says we have 46-47% that are at their caps anyone else would be affected by a rate increase on the major bridge.

Mr. Thomas says this is for Cum. Bridge.

Mr. Kostielney clarifies there is no increase for Cum Bridge fund.

Mr. Thomas goes over this again.

Dr. Fleming also reiterates this point and says that we need to assess and maybe be more creative in moving forward with the distribution of some of the funds. I think this is a good step to begin with.

Mr. Thomas says suppose this did not pass and the funds remained in the general fund or the parks department depending on how it was budgeted that money would just eventually roll over into the rainy day fund which could then be used for this or other purposes.

Several people say no.

Mr. Kostielney says no money goes into the rainy day fund unless it is placed there by the state.

Mr. Thomas asks if this is not done and there are these funds left over in the general fund, later these same funds could be appropriated for this or any other purpose.

Mr. Hamann says yes for instance, we are in crisis mode, we expend what we currently have in out of Cum. Bridge for 2015, if something happens or we have a major event in October, November of course we can move money from the general fund, CEDIT, that we can do and have done but that is what we are trying to avoid. We are trying to have a steady source of income that is dependable, just sound fiscal management.

Ms. Shuter says that CEDIT, CCD or rainy day would be appropriate to fund a bridge project or bonding that is another alternative we could be faced with if we don't adopt these rates.

Motion was made by Dr. Fleming to call a vote on the above resolution as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Kostielney.

Motion adopted by a 2-1 vote.

Motion was made by Dr. Fleming to approve the above resolution as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Kostielney.

Motion adopted by a 2-1 vote.

Mr. Kostielney says his reason for voting in favor of this is because it is neutral to the tax payer. It is more responsive from a physical stand point. Over the last two or three years through the Auditor's office we have been paying for things where it is appropriate so if it is appropriate we know we are underfunded from a bridge standpoint we need to begin raising those rates.

E)

IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
RESOLUTION NO. R-C-11-2015:
A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING
A CUMULATIVE BRIDGE FUND

I believe that this has already been presented.

Mr. Thomas asks if this raises taxes.

Mr. Hamann says yes it would for those that have not met there tax cap. An estimate for a person who owns a \$100000.00 house the increase for the year would be \$5.08 if it were \$200000.00 the increase would be \$14.31. This is for Major Bridges.

Ms. Shuter says that this money comes just from the St. Joe County unit. Also very important is the major bridge is outside of the levy, the umbrella of the maximum levy, and any increase to that fund will also generate an increase to our maximum levy.

Dr. Fleming says that she would like to see a plan for major bridges in the future before moving forward with this.

Ms. Shuter says the surplus in our major bridge fund right now is \$650000.00 that is available to spend.

Dr. Fleming says that St. Joseph County taxpayers already pay the highest property taxes in the state so I would hate to see them go up further.

Motion was made by Dr. Fleming to call a vote on the above resolution as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Kostielney.

Motion adopted by a 2-1 vote.

Motion was made by Dr. Fleming to approve the above resolution as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Kostielney.

Motion fails by a 0-3 vote.

Mr. Kostielney explains his vote. While I appreciate the need I know we are in the process of developing our next five to ten year plan from a capital projects stand point. As a result of not having the revenue here we are going to have to find the funds. We have a roughly 2.5 million dollar obligation on the bridge project currently that we are

going to have to pay out of CREDIT. I think once we get a firm capital plan in place one of the next items on the agenda will be helpful with that. We will identify our capital needs and we can move forward with the best method of funding them.

Dr. Fleming says that being creative and thinking outside the box and utilize the funds that we have to move forward with projects that we have.

F)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
AN RFQ FOR 2015 GUARANTEED
ENERGY SAVINGS CONTRACT
PROJECT

Pete Morgan, Executive Director JJC, 1000 So. Michigan St.

Mr. Morgan says he is asking the Commissioners to issue a request for qualifications for a qualified contractor to work with the guaranteed savings contract. At this point there is no financial obligation to the county.

Mr. Kostielney says this was first brought forward to look at for the JJC but we thought we would take it one step further and look at all county owned properties.

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above request for advertisement as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

G)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
TO AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF
OF THE COUNTY ASSESSOR
WITH THE MICHIANA AREA
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS FOR
GIS-PICTOMETRY DATA SHARING

This an agreement between MACOG and St. Joseph County as we look to purchase pictometry oblique imagery. The Area Council of Governments agrees to purchase up to 80% of the funding and the County picks up the rest.

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

H)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT
WITH PENN TOWNSHIP RELATING
TO UNIFIED HEALTH BENEFIT
SELF INSURANCE PLAN

Troy Scott, RR Benefits Risk Management, 1251 N. Eddy St.

Mr. Scott says this is a similar agreement based on the Indiana code allowing this type of agreement with entities that we have previously done this with and had great success. We hope to have the same success by doing this with Penn Township. There is one amendment that I would like to suggest under article 3 section 3.4 I would recommend changing the word administered to overseen because that is the actual role of the joint board.

Dr. Fleming says this is a wonderful opportunity for Penn Township and the taxpayers.

Mr. Thomas also commends Mr. Scott for his work.

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

D)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
A REVISED REQUEST TO ADVERTISE
AND RECEIVE BIDS FOR THE COUNTY
COURTS FACILITY FOR AUDIO/VISUAL,
PHONE/DATA, SECURITY & COURTROOM
TECHNOLOGY AND FURNITURE FOR
NEW COURT ROOMS

John Werntz, Architect.

Mr. Werntz asks to reject any bids and re-advertise for the two projects.

Dr. Fleming moved to reject any bids and re-advertise as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

ADD ON
HEALTH DEPARTMENT:
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
A REQUEST TO APPLY FOR A
GRANT

This is a \$20000.00 grant from the Indiana State Department of Health to increase physical activity in the Early Care and Education Child Care setting to reduce childhood obesity.

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
TO REQUEST REVIEW AND
FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THE
BUILDING SOUTH BEND; PAST,
PRESENT, & FUTURE, AN
INTERDISCIPLINARY PARTNERSHIP
BETWEEN HPC, HESBURGH
LIBRARIES OF NOTRE DAME'S
ARCHITECTURE LIBRARY, AND
THE HISTORY MUSEUM

Elicia Feasel, Deputy Director, 227 W. Lafayette Blvd.

Ms. Feasel opens the building South Bend app for the Commissioners to look at and explains some of the things seen on the app.

Ms. Feasel is requesting financial support for this project to pay the balance off.

Dr. Fleming comments that this is a wonderful app and it is amazing what it can do.

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS CONSORTIUM:
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
A PURCHASE/SERVICE AGREEMENT
FOR AUDIO-VISUAL EQUIPMENT

Todd Gears, Executive Director, 701 W Sample St.

Mr. Gears says this request is for a purchase and 3 year service agreement for the above stated equipment. This is to equip and connect a conference room, the training room and the dispatch center. This is so that we can receive multiple signals in any of those rooms. The funding source will be the PSAP construction fund.

Dr. Fleming moved to accept the above request as read and outlined.
Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.
Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS:

CONSENT AGENDA:

Development / Subdivision Requests
Description
Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit, Augustine Lake Subdivision

Special Event Requests
Description

Traffic Regulatory Requests
Description

Project Completion Requests
Description

Motion made by Dr. Fleming to accept the recommendation of the Department of Public Works in reference to the above consent agenda as read and outlined.
Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.
Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

A)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVAL
OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 3/FINAL
CHANGE ORDER FOR MISC.
ASPHALT OVERLAY PROGRAM

The revised contract amount will become \$1786886.09, which is 13.3% under the original contract amount.

Motion made by Dr. Fleming to accept the recommendation of the Department of Public Works in reference to the above request as read and outlined.
Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.
Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

B)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVAL
OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 9 FOR
GUMWOOD PHASE II RECONSTRUCTION
AND ADDED TRAVEL LANES FROM
NORTH OF BRICK ROAD TO THE
INDIANA/MICHIGAN STATE LINE

This change order adds 61 calendar days to the contract for additional sewer and water line installation which delayed the intended road closure date of 7/8/2014. This change adjusts the project completion date for this 61 day delay, changing the date from 9/1/2015 to 11/1/2015.

Mr. Thomas asks if it is storm water or sewage.
Ms. Clark says this is a time extension request for Mishawaka water and sewage lines.
Mr. Thomas asks if this extension was not done would this cause a fine to the contractor.
Ms. Clark says yes but we have asked them to do this so is a benefit.
Motion made by Dr. Fleming to accept the recommendation of the Department of Public Works in reference to the above request as read and outlined.
Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

C)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVAL
OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 12 FOR
GUMWOOD ROAD RECONSTRUCTION
AND ADDED TRAVEL LANES
FROM MISHAWAKA CITY LIMITS
TO NORTH BRICK ROAD PHASE I

This change order compensates the contractor for modifications required to avoid underground utilities encountered during the installation of the storm sewer system. Communication lines were placed outside the parameters of the approved relocation plans. This change order will be an increase of \$4012.04 to the contract.

Motion made by Dr. Fleming to accept the recommendation of the Department of Public Works in reference to the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

D)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVAL
OF A BID AWARD FOR THE MAINTENANCE
ASPHALT OVERLAY PROGRAM

It is recommended this be awarded to Walsh & Kelly Inc. in the amount of \$1789548.89.

Motion made by Dr. Fleming to accept the recommendation of the Department of Public Works in reference to the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

E)
IN THE MATTER OF APPROVAL
OF A BID AWARD FOR
PAVEMENT PRESERVATION
PACKAGE

Jessica Clark, County Engineer, offices on the 7th floor.

It is recommended that the bids be awarded as follows:

Base Bid A & B for slurry seal coat and multiple course micro-surfacing to Pavement Solutions, Inc.

Base Bid D to ARS, LLC

Base Bid E to Rieth-Riley construction

Base Bid F to Walsh & Kelly

And recommend accepting both bids for Base Bid C

Motion made by Dr. Fleming to accept the recommendation of the Department of Public Works in reference to the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

COMPLIANCE ORDER AND NOTICE OF DEMOLITION HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF APPROVAL
OF 12 PROPERTIES LOCATED
WITHIN WARREN, CLAY, CENTRE,
PENN, PORTAGE, AND UNION
TOWNSHIPS

Charles Bulot, Building Commissioner, 125 S. Lafayette Blvd.

Mr. Bulot says there are four properties I would like to remove from the list those are 18260 Chipstead, 59000 Crumstown Hwy., 22900 Ardmore Tr., and 56131 Butternut. I have spoken to the owners of these residences and they are in the process of fixing them or are having them demolished on their own. All of the property owners have been notified for the remaining properties and I request a bid opening on August 4, 2015.

Mr. Thomas thanks Mr. Bulot and his staff for all of their hard work.
Paul Lass, 52263 Prescott, South Bend, IN.

Mr. Lass says he thought he was here to figure out what to do before it is taken away and demolished. I had a lawyer but I am not sure what was resolved. I am willing to, if you give me time to tear the property down myself. I am thinking I could have it down by the end of the month and by winter have it all cleaned up. I had a demolish permit and was going to do it but ran out of funds and time. I would have done it wrong because I don't know what I am doing. I have contacted the utility companies to shut those off. I would like to move forward and do it myself if you can let me know what I need to do to get it done right. I would like to do it myself because I really don't have the funds if the city does it.

Mr. Bulot says he did talk to the attorney. I requested a confirmation of how they would proceed. His attorney said he would be in contact but I have yet to hear from him and that is why I moved forward today.

Mr. Kostielney asks if it would be ok to remove this from the list.

Mr. Bulot says yes if he would like to sign a letter of intent in our office with a time schedule I will give him the information as to what he has to do to prepare it for demolition and removal. So we can remove that from the demolition list.

Mr. Thomas ask will this one be like the other with the intent letter.

Mr. Bulot says yes

Jay Wilgis, Property Manager of MSC Holdings 2 LLC.

Mr. Wilgis says this is in reference to 54562 Quince Rd. We received a demolition order for this property without receiving any proper notification for a vacate seal from any code enforcement or county office. This home was under investigation with the tenants that were in there. The South Bend Police Department and the Deputy Marshall were involved and we did not have access to this property until we got the all clear from these departments. We have been unable to touch the property until said investigation was completed. We have secured the property, fixed all the broken window, replaced the garage door, changed the locks so the property is secure and we are taking bids from contractors to do repairs on the inside. This is primarily a rental. I am here to ask that this be removed from the demolition list.

Mr. Bulot says this is the first he has heard of any improvements made to the property if he would like to meet me afterwards in my office and give me proof of the improvements I would be happy to take this from the list.

Mr. Wilgis says he does have pictures of the update from this morning and shows them to Mr. Thomas.

Mr. Thomas asks if this was a meth lab.

Mr. Wilgis says no this had to do with a homicide and a stored vehicle.

Ken Koscher, 64555 US 31.

Mr. Koscher says this has been damaged by Mother Nature the rest of the building is sound. There is no reason to tear it down other than you guys don't like it I guess. I tried to get someone to tear it down. I had the gas shut off. (Goes on to talk about chain-link fences and privacy fences with no permits) Is he doing this to everyone or just certain people? He's not fair in other words.

Mr. Kostielney asks if there are structural issues with this property or are you in the process of tearing it down. You have had the gas shut off so is your intent to have the place torn down.

Mr. Koscher says it was but then he says we couldn't do it.

Mr. Kostielney ask is your intent to demolish the property.

Mr. Koscher says no.

Mr. Thomas asks if he is the owner of the property

Mr. Koscher replies no.

Mr. Thomas asks Mr. Bulot to refresh his memory about this property. I believe it is an old gas station that had a foundation. We have had multiple people claim ownership. Multiple times we were going to demolish it and multiple times owners signed statements with you and we granted 30 day reprieves on the demolition because they promised to do it

themselves. I think your office and the commissioners have been very accepting for making time for the repairs or demolition regarding this parcel. Is this that same parcel?

Mr. Bulot says yes it is. I have a legal owner of Chuck Edmondson. This property was on the previous demolition round and he said he would do it himself or find someone else. It is the owner's job to do this. It is not my job to go in and instruct them piece by piece of what to do. If you have questions you can ask. He chose not to he said he would do it himself. Since it wasn't done I put it back on. I believe Mr. Edmondson contacted me again to proceed on his own since I have not heard from him since then I have added it to the list.

Roland Chamblee, 19176 Summers Dr., South Bend, IN.

Mr. Chamblee says he is here on behalf of the property at 19303 Summers Dr. I have spoken to the own who resides in New York. He had begun some of the processes and signed a contract to have the pool demolished and should be done within the next week. He is aware of the demolition and will not be able to do it himself and is in favor of you doing what needs to be done.

Mr. Kostielney asks for clarification on if it will be fixed or demolished.

Mr. Chamblee says he would like to have the county go forward with the demolition of the home.

Discussion with counsel on how to proceed with vote.

Motion made by Dr. Fleming moves to accept the above request as read and outlined.

Motion seconded by Mr. Thomas.

Motion adopted by a 3-0 vote.

OLD BUSINESS: None

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Dr. Fleming reads a press release. She is the Chairman of the Indiana Bicentennial Commission for St. Joseph County. If anyone wants to or knows of someone that wants to do a legacy project please contact me on the 7th floor.

Mr. Thomas says the fair is currently going on.

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, Mr. Kostielney asked for a motion to recess, Dr. Fleming so moved, seconded by Mr. Thomas. Meeting recessed by a 3-0 vote.